
Graphs and Algorithms

Exercise 1 (Connectivity)

Let G be a graph. Prove the following statements.

- (a) Let s, t be two different vertices of G . If there is a walk starting in s and ending in t then there is a path with leaves s and t .
- (b) We define

$$s \sim t \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \text{there exists a path from } s \text{ to } t.$$

Then “ \sim ” is an equivalence relation, i.e., it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

- (c) G is the disjoint union of its connected components.

Exercise 2 (Properties of Trees)

Prove the following statements:

- (a) Let T be a tree.
 - (i) If $n := |V(T)| \geq 2$ then T contains at least two leaves.
 - (ii) Deleting a leaf from T produces another tree.
- (b) (*Characterization of trees*) For a graph G on n vertices, the following are equivalent:
 - G is connected and has no cycles.
 - G is connected and has $n - 1$ edges.
 - G has $n - 1$ edges and no cycles.
 - For each $u, v \in V(G)$, G has exactly one u, v -path.
- (c) Every edge of a tree is a bridge.
- (d) Adding one edge (and no vertices) to a tree forms exactly one cycle.
- (e) Every connected graph contains a spanning tree.

Exercise 3 (Bridge-It)

- (a) In a Bridge-it game, show that when no more moves are possible then exactly one player has built a bridge.
- (b) Describe an explicit winning strategy for player 1. (This includes proving that your strategy is successful.)

Exercise 4 (Strategy Stealing)

In the lecture it was shown by a strategy stealing argument that the player who makes the first move wins Bridge-it.

Claim. *The player who makes the second move wins Bridge-it.*

Proof (strategy stealing). Assume for the sake of contradiction that Player 1 has a winning strategy. After Player 1 made his first move, Player 2 ignores this move and pretends to be Player 1 by stealing his winning strategy. Hence, Player 2 wins the game, which contradicts our assumption. \square

Where is the mistake in this proof?

Exercise 5 (Bridg-it on Graphs)

Consider the following game on a graph G . There are two players, a red color player R and a blue color player B . Initially all edges of G are uncolored. The two players alternately color an uncolored edge of G with their color until all edges are colored. The goal of B is that in the end, the blue-colored edges form a connected spanning subgraph of G . The goal of R is to prevent B from achieving his goal. Assume that R starts the game.

Note that it was essentially shown in the lecture that B can always win if G contains two edge-disjoint spanning trees. (Recall the winning strategy for Player 1 in Bridg-it.)

Prove that on the other hand, R can always win if G does not contain two edge-disjoint spanning trees!

DISCUSSION OF THE SOLUTION IN THE EXERCISE CLASS ON 21.2.2013.